

Jake Replies:

I approve the online meeting everything looks good.

I vote YES on the contract 2011 with [Effingham county](#) with tony pay increase.

I will need more information on the hunting program with IDNR at this time I would vote NO.

As September looks as though we will be in harvest at this time unless something big comes up the next two meetings will most likely be online, unless someone objects.

Did I understand IDOA that audits not required if income under 850K.

Thanks Jacob Hortenstine

Susan Replies:

Contract with Effingham looks fine. County Board request okay but I will be out of town. IRAP- I'm assuming the permits can only be used on ground that is signed up. Who is going to enforce this? My first instinct is that the hunters may think that this permit gives them rights to hunt anywhere. Would like to know more about this at next meeting.

Matt Replies:

No reply sent

Craig Replies:

I approve everything from the online meeting. I vote yes on the contract with Effingham County that includes the pay increase for Tony. As far as the IRAP, I would agree with others that more information is needed. While it may generate some extra income, it seems to me most landowners would want more than a nominal fee to let strangers on their property. What about liability issues?

Thanks,

Craig

Liz Replies:

The minutes look fine. The Effingham County/RC new contract looks fine also. I will try and make the County Board meeting on Tuesday at 6pm – but will let you know for sure that day. I agree I need more information on the IDNR item.

Karen's Replies:

So far I have had the replies listed above and in response to the questions asked here are the answers I have:

The IRAP (hunting program) is controlled by IDNR and we are assuming will be enforced by IDNR. The only item that we MAY be involved with this the selling of the permits but that is not

even a definite thing as of yet. If we do sell the permits it will be an income item for us. But we have the same hesitation as everyone else that people will think they can just go on about any ground they want, if they have a permit or if they know someone has signed up in the program people will just start going on the landowners ground without a permit. Not sure how this program will work out, just yet. We will keep the board informed as we learn more about the program.

IDOA does not require an audit unless we have income over 850K and that is why we did the internal audit last year, since money was so tight. But with all the transactions that go through the district in a fiscal year directors have agreed to have a CPA audit for several years, under my insistence. There has never been an issue with the audit or anything but for a couple years in a row district personnel have been caught stealing, in other counties and I have always wanted to make sure that the board was confident in the way I handled the money. So, I prefer to have an outside audit done when we are able to afford it, just for the board and my peace of mind.

Hope this answers your questions. Any other questions please let me know and I will do my best to answer them.

From what I was told the landowners sign a non-liability form at the time they sign their ground up. But so far like I stated previously we are still getting updates on the rules so nothing is set in stone at this time.